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ENSO Impacts

- Strong influence on the seasonal P and T patterns around the globe and over the U.S.
- Improved P and T forecast skill in climate models can be attributed to the known impacts of ENSO signals.
ENSO Prediction

Questions:

• Can climate models predict the onset of ENSO events?
• If an ENSO event is in progress, can climate models adequately predict its impacts on P and T patterns?
ENSO Composites

- The composite analysis is conducted using the 1982-2010 hindcasts from the CFSv2, CanCM3, CanCM4, FLOR, GEOS5, and CCSM4 models.

- Composite years are selected based on the historical Ocean Nino Index (ONI).

- If the seasonal ONI just prior to the date the forecasts were initiated indicates a warm or cold ENSO episode, the forecasts are selected for the composite analysis.

- The composites apply to monthly mean conditions in November, December, January, February, and March, respectively, as well as to the five-month aggregates (NDJFM) resembling the winter conditions.
Selected ENSO years used in the model composites (1982-2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENSO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total No. of years: 8 7 10 9 10 9 10 10 10 10
Anomaly Composites

- For each model, monthly ensemble P and T forecasts are first computed by the equally weighted mean of all member forecasts.
- The P (or T) anomalies for a given start and lead times are then calculated by the differences between the ensemble P (or T) forecasts and the lead-specific model climatology derived from the hindcast average of all members excluding the forecast year.
- The P (or T) composites for the El Nino and La Nina events are simply the average of the ensemble P (or T) anomaly maps of selected years.
- The NMME composites are the equally weighted mean of the six models’ composites.
El Nino P Anomaly Composites

NMME Composites
a) Nov forecasts (IC: 100100)
b) Dec forecasts (IC: 110100)
c) Jan forecasts (IC: 120100)
d) Feb forecasts (IC: 010100)
e) Mar forecasts (IC: 020100)
f) NDJFM forecasts (5-month aggregates)

Observed Composites
a) Nov
b) Dec
c) Jan
d) Feb
e) Mar
f) NDJFM (5-month aggregates)
La Nina Composites for NDJFM P Anomaly
La Nina Composites for NDJFM T Anomaly
Verification Scores (Anomaly Composites)

- Anomaly Correlation Coefficient (ACC)

\[
ACC = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(w_i \times Xm_i \times Xo_i)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(w_i \times Xm_i^2) \times \sum_{i=1}^{n}(w_i \times Xo_i^2)}}
\]

- Root-Mean-Square Error (RMSE)

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i (Xm_i - Xo_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i}}
\]

where \(Xm_i\) is the model anomaly (either P or T) at grid \(i\), \(Xo_i\) is the observed anomaly at grid \(i\), \(n\) is the total number of land grid points within the North American domain, and \(w\) is the weighting coefficient based on the latitude \((y)\) of grid \(i\), that is,

\[
w_i = \cos(y_i)
\]
The skill generally is higher for NMME composites, as well as NDJFM composites.

Predictive skill varies with month. All models, as well as NMME, have greater ACC for February composites.

Most models have slightly better skill in predicting El Nino P and T patterns than La Nina patterns.

CFSv2 performs better in predicting P patterns under ENSO conditions than other models.
Probability Composites

- For each model, P (or T) forecasts for a given start and lead times are classified into three categories (A, N, B) based on the terciles derived from the hindcasts of all members excluding the forecast year.
- The classification applies to each individual member forecast, and the number of ensemble members that fell into the three categories under the El Nino and La Nina conditions are counted for the selected ENSO years.
- The probability of occurrence for each category under the warm (or cold) ENSO condition is then calculated by dividing the total number of counts by the product of the number of the selected ENSO years and the number of ensemble members.
- The NMME composite is the combination of all six models by adding all counts in each category from the six models together.
- The NDJFM composite is the combination of all five winter months.
El Niño Composites for NDJFM P Probability
El Niño Composites for NDJFM T Probability
Verification Scores (Probability Composites)

• Probability Anomaly Correlation (PAC)

\[
PAC = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(Am_i \times Ao_i + Nm_i \times No_i + Bm_i \times Bo_i)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(Am_i^2 + Nm_i^2 + Bm_i^2)}} \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(Ao_i^2 + No_i^2 + Bo_i^2)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i}\]

• Root-Mean Probability Score (RMPS)

\[
RMPS = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i[(Am_i - Ao_i)^2 + (Nm_i - No_i)^2 + (Bm_i - Bo_i)^2]}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 3w_i}}
\]

where \(Am\), \(Nm\), and \(Bm\) are the probability anomalies of the above, near, and below normal categories from the model composite, respectively, and \(Ao\), \(No\), and \(Bo\) are the probability anomalies of the above, near, and below normal categories from the observed composite, respectively.
The skill for NMME and NDJFM composites are generally greater than individual model and month.

February tends to have higher skill than other months for both P and T composites under either El Nino or La Nina conditions.

PAC shows larger scores for P composites than T composites under both El Nino and La Nina conditions.
RMPS

- Consistent with PAC’s findings.
- NMME has higher skill in predicting P patterns than T patterns under both El Nino and La Nina conditions.
- NDJFM composite would provide a better prediction for an independent new ENSO case in any given month.
- NMME has comparable skill (in terms of accuracy) in predicting El Nino and La Nina patterns, for both P and T composites.
Benefits of Probability Composites

- They unify P and T composites through the use of probability as unit.
- They directly provide probability distribution information for three category outcomes (as used in operational seasonal prediction at CPC).
- By using the tercile thresholds, each count is treated and contributed equally and thus the effect of outliers is reduced.
- They are less sensitive to the sample used and thus give a more robust estimate of the ENSO impacts.
- Because both the model and observed composites are derived with respect to their own distributions, we bypass the question whether the model and observation have the same distribution.
Summary

• NMME predicts ENSO P patterns well during wintertime. All models are reasonably good. CFSv2 performs particularly well. This result gives us confidence in NMME P forecasts during an ENSO episode and models’ ability in simulating teleconnections.
• There are some discrepancies between the NMME and observed composites for T forecasts. The differences are mainly contributed by the GEOS5, CanCM4, and FLOR models.
• For both P and T composites, predictive skill under ENSO conditions is greater for NMME, as well as NDJFM. February tends to has higher skill than other winter months.
• For anomaly composites, most models have better skill in predicting El Nino patterns than La Nina patterns.
• For probability composites, all models have better skill in predicting P patterns than T patterns.
Thank you and questions

NMME ENSO webpage:

http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/NMME/enso/

Contact: lichuan.chen@noaa.gov
NMME is an experimental multi-model seasonal forecasting system consisting of coupled climate models from U.S. modeling centers and Canadian Meteorological Centre, aimed at improving intraseasonal to interannual prediction capability.

The multi-model ensemble approach has proven effective at quantifying prediction uncertainty due to uncertainty in model formulation, and has proven to produce better forecast quality (on average) than any single model ensemble.

CTB NMME documents:
http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/ctb/nmme/

CPC NMME forecasts:
http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/NMME/
### RMSE

- Similar to ACC results.
- The skill generally is higher for NMME composites, as well as NDJFM composites.