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Motivation

• GHG’s are well known factors that lead to heat capture and a resultant heating of the atmosphere

• On the other hand, Aerosols are known to have a direct effect on global climate but the result is much more uncertain
  • Non-Absorbing aerosols scatter radiation into space making them a cooling mechanism
  • Absorbing (Bio Mass Burning Aerosols) absorb radiation resulting in a heating mechanism

• Besides aerosol direct effects, Aerosols can interact with clouds changing their properties (Cloud Indirect Effects).
  • Twomey effect: Increased aerosols loading modifies cloud optic properties such as cloud optical depth ($\tau_{cod}$) and cloud droplet effective radius ($R_{eff}$)
    • In particular, it is theorized that increased aerosol uptake leads to a reduction in water droplet diameters resulting in a stronger cloud reflection thereby acting as a cooling mechanism.
  • Albrecht effect: Increased in aerosol concentration over the region may increase the amount of low level clouds through a reduction in drizzle (Not considered here)
Uncertainty of Climate Forcing

- Climate change impact Earth’s bio-sphere [IPCC AR5]
- Greenhouse gases play vital role in overall global energy balance
- GHG contributions well understood and quantified
- However, the effects of aerosols loading and its interaction with clouds is far less understood and drives the uncertainty in overall energy balance
Ground Based Approach

• Two components necessary
  1) Measurement of cloud droplet $R_{\text{eff}}$
  2) Need aerosol properties near cloud base

• Combination of \textit{microwave} radiometer (MWR) and \textit{multifilter} rotating shadowband radiometer (MFRSR) offer cloud droplet effective radius

• \textbf{Light Detection And Ranging (LIDAR)} system can provide the aerosol properties
  • Raman Lidar for aerosol extinction
  • Elastic Lidar for aerosol backscatter
MWR level 1 & 2 Products Retrieved by Neural Network

13 May 2013 Surface (level 1) and Integrated (level 2)

13 May 2013 Profiling (level 2)
1. Obtain temperature profile from MWR and Radiosonde
2. Acquire brightness temperature from MWR
3. Attain surface meteorological data from ground instruments
4. Calculate optical depth for both channels and Subtract closest clear-sky period [Wang, 2007]
5. Retrieved integrated liquid water path and water vapor [Liljegren et al., 2001]
6. Estimate cloud base temperature from LIDAR
LWP Retrievals by Dual Channel (DC) Method

\[ L = v_{23.834} \tau_{23.834} + v_{30} \tau_{30} \], where, \( \tau \) = optical depth, \( v \) = retrieval coefficient (Liljegren et al., 2001)

\[ R = 0.97828 \]
\[ y = 1.2287 x + 0.0054231 \]
Iterative Cloud Optic Retrieval Algorithm

- \( T_{\text{diff}}(\tau_{\text{cod}}, R_{\text{eff}}, \theta) \)
- \( \text{LWP}(\tau_{\text{cod}}, R_{\text{eff}}) \)
- \( \text{LWP} = \frac{2}{3} \tau_{\text{cod}} R_{\text{eff}} \)
- For given angle, we have two constraints to simultaneously solve \( \tau_{\text{cod}}, R_{\text{eff}} \)
One minute backscattering return for elastic (355 nm) and Raman (N₂, 387 nm) for 5/13/2015. [Ansmann et al., 1990, 1992]

Aerosol extinction coefficient profile for 1800-2000 UTC 5/13/2013
Results

• Aerosol-Cloud Index, ACI = \[-d[log(R_{eff})]/d[log(\alpha_{aer})]\]

• Cloud effective radius \(R_{eff}\) calculated by iterative algorithm

• Aerosol extinction coefficient \(\alpha_{aer}\) computed from Raman LIDAR

• Following requirements limits the number of observations
  1) Fine mode aerosol determined by Angstrom coefficient (AERONET website)
  2) High single scattering albedo (AERONET website)
  3) Cloud base height less than 2 km
  4) Overall liquid water path constraint (50 < LWP < 90)
  5) Strong aerosol loading
  6) Significant vertical wind uptake (HYSPLIT model)
  7) Updraft wind velocity (NCAR Rapid Refresh model)
  8) Sufficient homogeneous cloud decks

• Demonstrate Twomey Effect
Observed Twomey Effect

ACI_{NN} 13 May 2013 1800-2000 UTC

\begin{align*}
\text{ACI}_{NN} &= 0.24071 \\
R &= 0.47673
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{ACI}_{DC} &= 0.24371 \\
R &= 0.79705
\end{align*}

ACI_{DC} 13 May 2013 1800-2000 UTC
Sensitivity of Twomey Effect

- Aerosol extinction below cloud base height
- Make sure without including any of cloud fields
- At least 100 – 150 meters gap necessary to avoid cloud contamination
- If far away from cloud base height (~200 meters)
  - Magnitude of ACI change dramatically
- Height is important
Select same day and time for both ground and satellite retrievals for May, June, and July 2013

10 km x 10 km with 30 minutes averaging for comparison

Even though space and ground based different approaches with a few month data

Show significant agreement

But bias in MODIS

Due to overall increase of $R_{eff}$ towards the top of the cloud that satellite actually probes when using solar reflection measurements
Exploring Potential use of 1064nm Backscattering

Noise Reduction using Elastic Backscatter

Lidar 1-min average signal intensity

SNR at the elastic-1064 and $N_2$-Raman channel
Twomey Effect Comparison of Extinction and Backscatter

For neural network (NN) 5/13/2013 1800 - 2000 UTC
Twomey Effect Comparison of Extinction and Backscatter

DC Correlation Between Extinction (ω) and Cloud Radius (R_{eff})

DC Correlation Between Backscattering (β) and Cloud Radius (R_{eff})

For dual channel (DC) 5/13/2013 1800 -2000 UTC
Conclusions

• Investigation of potential of quantifying and observing 1st Aerosol Indirect Effect (Twomey effect) is very difficult due to multiple conditions needed to observe the interaction

• The condition include: aerosols hygroscopic growth, homogeneous water phase cloud with fairly small liquid water path, stable cloud base height, vertical wind uptake, no precipitation

• We however able to show the Twomey effect

• Demonstrate Aerosol-Cloud-Index using two different LWP retrievals approaches

• We find that the Aerosol-Cloud-Index very sensitive to distance from the cloud base

• We also investigate the possibility of using the backscatter instead of the extinction to improve the noise inherent in Raman Lidar

• Preliminary result seem to show better correlation between backscatter and $R_{eff}$ due most likely to better SNR
Future Work

• More measurements needed using synergetic ground based instruments
• Explore in more detail Backscatter approach to allow for more data per event improving the statistical assessment of ACI
• Exploring hygroscopic growth models of aerosols using combined lidar extinction/backscatter ratios and MWR RH
• Use direct vertical wind velocity measurements from Doppler Lidar at CCNY.
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